of another article. Further misbranding was alleged in that statements on the label on the package containing the article, regarding the article, to wit, "Eggola A Substitute for Eggs in Baking, Cooking, Etc." "Use one level teaspoonfar of Eggola * * * for each egg required," "In baking and cooking it is unsurpassed," and "Use Eggola for eggs," were false and misleading in that they represented that the article was a substitute for eggs and could be used in place of eggs for cooking, whereas, in truth and in fact, it was not a substitute for, and could not be used in place of, eggs. Further misbranding was alleged in that the article was so labeled as to deceive and mislead the purchaser into the belief that the article was an egg substitute and could be used in place of eggs for cooking, whereas, in truth and in fact, it was not a substitute for, and could not be used in place of, eggs in cooking.

On March 23, 1920, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$25 and costs.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

S108. Adulteration and misbranding of so-called California zinfandel wine. U. S. * * * v. 5 Barrels of So-Called California Zinfandel Wine. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 11055. I. S. No. 12961-r. S. No. E-1626.)

On August 11, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Connecticut, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of a certain product, labeled in part "Cal. Zinfandel Extra Under 14% Alcohol," remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Hartford, Conn., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about May 10, 1919, by Di Paola Bros., New York, N. Y., and transported from the State of New York into the State of Connecticut, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

Analysis of a number of samples of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it contained less than 1 per cent by volume of alcohol, that it contained added water, and that one sample contained an added coloring substance, probably amaranth.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel in that water had been mixed with the article so as to reduce, lower, and injuriously affect its quality and strength, and had been substituted wholly or in part for the article. Further adulteration was alleged in that certain coloring matter had been added to the article for the purpose of concealing the article's inferiority, and whereby its inferiority was concealed.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in that certain statements on the label, to wit, "Cal. Zinfandel Extra Under 14% Alcohol * * * In Bond," was false and misleading in that it was intended to induce the purchaser to believe that the article was zinfandel extra wine, whereas, in truth and in fact, it was not, but was a product deficient in alcohol and containing added water. Further misbranding of the article was alleged in that it was an imitation of, and was offered for sale under the distinctive name of, another article.

On October 20, 1919, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.